

# Comparable Case Valuation Report

A reference for settlement negotiation and case valuation.

CASE TYPE

**Data Breach | Healthcare Privacy Litigation**

REFERENCE CASE

**In re Anna Jaques Hospital Data Security Incident Litigation, No. 1:24-cv-10792**

TARGET CLASS SIZE

**316,000 Class Members**

COMPARABLE SETTLEMENTS ANALYZED

**35 Cases**

Report No. CLR-2026-04-0917

Date of Report: April 17, 2026

Authentication: **A5169868222C4616**

# Executive Summary

This Comparable Case Valuation Report has been prepared to assist in evaluating the settlement market value of the data breach class action **In re Anna Jaques Hospital Data Security Incident Litigation, No. 1:24-cv-10792 (D. Mass.)**, involving approximately **316,000** affected class members. The analysis is based on **35 comparable settlements** in data breach litigation involving similarly-sized classes, drawn from the Clareon settlement database.

The comparable cases analyzed involve class sizes ranging from **148,515** to **490,210** members, with quantified settlement amounts ranging from **\$552,500** to **\$10,000,000**. Per-class-member recovery in the comparable set ranges from **\$2** to **\$42**, with a median of **\$7** per class member.

## SETTLEMENT VALUE RANGE

**\$1,275,000 – \$2,825,000**

Interquartile Range (25th – 75th Percentile)

**Median: \$2,375,000 | Mean: \$2,648,672**

Per-Member Range: \$2 – \$42 | Median: \$7 | Mean: \$10

## FULL COMPARABLE RANGE

**\$552,500 – \$10,000,000**

The interquartile range of **\$1,275,000 to \$2,825,000** represents the core market range where the majority of comparable settlements cluster, excluding outliers at both extremes. The full range of comparables extends from **\$552,500 to \$10,000,000**. Per-class-member recovery falls in the range of **\$2 to \$42**, with a central tendency of **\$7** per class member (median). The comparable data presented herein is anchored by market settlement data from the Clareon settlement database.

# Scope and Methodology

---

## Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide an objective, data-driven analysis of settlement values in comparable data breach class action litigation. This report is intended to serve as a reference for settlement negotiations, mediation, and evaluation of the subject case's market value based on established precedent in similar matters.

## Comparable Selection Criteria

Comparable cases were selected from the Clareon settlement database using the following criteria:

**Case Type:** Data breach / privacy litigation involving unauthorized access to personally identifiable information (PII) and protected health information (PHI).

**Class Size:** Cases with class sizes in the range of approximately 148,515 to 490,210 affected individuals, bracketing the expected class size of 316,000 for the subject case.

**Settlement Phase:** Cases that have reached preliminary or final approval with quantified settlement terms, ensuring the data is sufficiently defined for comparison.

**Recency:** Settlements filed or approved within the most recent litigation cycle to reflect current market conditions.

## Analytical Approach

The valuation analysis follows a market-comparable methodology analogous to the sales comparison approach used in real estate appraisal. Each comparable settlement is examined across multiple dimensions including total settlement fund size, per-class-member recovery, class size, settlement structure, fee awards, and claims administration. Statistical measures of central tendency (mean and median) and dispersion (range) are calculated to establish the indicated value range for the subject case.

## Data Sources

All comparable case data is sourced from the Clareon settlement database, which compiles settlement information from federal and state court filings, including motions for preliminary and final approval, fee petitions, and claims administration reports. Data was retrieved on April 17, 2026.

## Limitations

This report presents market data on comparable settlements and is not a legal opinion. Settlement values are influenced by case-specific factors including the strength of claims, the nature and sensitivity of compromised data, the defendant's financial condition, jurisdictional considerations, and litigation posture. The comparable data presented herein should be considered alongside these qualitative factors when evaluating any particular case.

# Subject Case Profile

<b>Case Type</b>	Data Breach / Privacy Class Action
<b>Case Caption</b>	In re Anna Jaques Hospital Data Security Incident Litigation, No. 1:24-cv-10792
<b>Court</b>	United States District Court, District of Massachusetts
<b>Affected Class Size</b>	Approximately 316,000 individuals
<b>Nature of Incident</b>	Unauthorized access to personally identifiable information (PII)
<b>Settlement Phase</b>	Pre-settlement / Valuation
<b>Settlement Structure</b>	To be determined

## Comparable Case Data

The following table presents the 35 comparable data breach settlements identified for this analysis. Cases are sorted by class size.

#	Defendant	Court	Class Size	Settlement Amount	Per Member	Phase	Settlement Date
1	NORTHEAST REHABILITATION HOSPITAL NETWORK	Superior Court of Rockingham County	148,515	\$1,500,000	\$10	Final	—
2	C.R. PHARMACY SERVICES, INC. D/B/A CAREPRO HE	Iowa District Court for Linn County	151,499	\$1,300,000	\$9	Final	Jul 27, 2025
3	ALABAMA OPHTHALMOLOGY ASSOCIATES, P.C.	Circuit Court of Jefferson County	153,575	\$850,000	\$6	Prelim.	Dec 16, 2025
4	THE HEALTH & HOSPITAL CORPORATION OF MARION C	Indiana Commercial Court	160,000	\$2,500,000	\$16	Final	Jul 24, 2024
5	LONG ISLAND PLASTIC SURGICAL GROUP P.C.	Supreme Court of the State of New Y	161,000	\$2,600,000	\$16	Prelim.	Nov 5, 2025
6	GASTROENTEROLOGY CONSULTANTS, P.A.	The District Court of Harris County	162,163	\$552,500	\$3	Final	Apr 20, 2022
7	SOUTH TEXAS ONCOLOGY AND HEMATOLOGY, PLLC	438th Judicial District Court, Bexa	176,303	\$1,075,000	\$6	Prelim.	Mar 4, 2026
8	MEDSTAR HEALTH, INC.	United States District Court Distri	183,079	\$1,350,000	\$7	Final	Jun 3, 2025
9	CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI D/B/A	Circuit Court of Boone County, Civi	189,736	\$8,000,000	\$42	Final	Aug 1, 2024

#	Defendant	Court	Class Size	Settlement Amount	Per Member	Phase	Settlement Date
10	COMMUNITY PSYCHIATRY MANAGEMENT, LLC ("MINDPA	The Superior Court of California, C	193,947	\$3,500,000	\$18	Final	May 20, 2025
11	COMMUNITY FIRST HEALTHCARE OF ILLINOIS, INC.	In the Circuit Court of Cook County	216,047	\$1,000,000	\$5	Prelim.	Nov 25, 2025
12	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HEALTH BENEFIT EXCHANGE	Superior Court of D.C.	254,388	\$1,450,000	\$6	Final	—
13	SUNFLOWER MEDICAL GROUP, P.A.	The Circuit Court for Jackson Count	255,734	\$1,200,000	\$5	Prelim.	Sep 7, 2025
14	DAMERON HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION D/B/A DAMERON HO	Superior Court of the State of Cali	262,475	\$650,000	\$2	Final	Nov 6, 2024
15	MIDWEST PHYSICIAN ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, LL	United States District Court Northe	272,373	\$1,880,000	\$7	Prelim.	Nov 12, 2025
16	OCTAPharma PLASMA, INC.	United States District Court for th	272,794	\$2,550,000	\$9	Prelim.	Jul 20, 2025
17	WATSON CLINIC, LLP	United States District Court Middle	280,278	\$10,000,000	\$36	Prelim.	Jul 16, 2025
18	M&D; CAPITAL PREMIER BILLING, LLC AND ISLAND A	Supreme Court of the State of New Y	284,326	\$1,000,000	\$4	Final	—
19	DES MOINES ORTHOPAEDIC SURGEONS, P.C.	Iowa District Court for Dallas Coun	300,000	—	—	Prelim.	—
20	CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER OF AKRON D	Court of Common Pleas Summit County	313,700	\$19	\$0	Final	Jul 10, 2025
21	ADVANCED MEDICAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, AND POINTS	United States District Court for th	319,485	\$2,500,000	\$8	Prelim.	Apr 17, 2024
22	ASHEVILLE EYE ASSOCIATES, PLLC	In the General Court of Justice Sup	327,756	—	—	Prelim.	Oct 7, 2025
23	FRESENIUS VASCULAR CARE, INC. D/B/A AZURA VAS	United States District Court for th	332,038	\$3,150,000	\$9	Final	Feb 13, 2025
24	ARISA HEALTH, INC.	The Circuit Court of Johnson County	360,351	\$1,900,000	\$5	Final	May 8, 2025
25	AFFILIATED DERMATOLOGISTS & DERMATOLOGIC SURG	Superior Court of New Jersey Law Di	373,680	\$1,000,000	\$3	Final	Nov 4, 2025
26	ORTHOPEDICS RHODE ISLAND, INC.	State of Rhode Island Superior Cour	376,091	\$2,900,000	\$8	Final	Jul 27, 2025
27	CONTINUUM HEALTH ALLIANCE, LLC AND CONSENSUS	Superior Court of New Jersey Law Di	380,000	\$3,100,000	\$8	Prelim.	Oct 16, 2025
28	EXCELSIOR ORTHOPAEDICS, LLP AND BUFFALO SURGE	Supreme Court of the State of New Y	389,000	\$2,400,000	\$6	Prelim.	—
29	GRYPHON HEALTHCARE, LLC	61st Judicial District Court of Har	393,358	\$2,800,000	\$7	Prelim.	Dec 29, 2025
30	SPECIALTY NETWORKS, LLC, AND PRIME IMAGING, L	United States District Court Easter	408,103	\$2,600,000	\$6	Prelim.	May 20, 2025
31	EAST RIVER MEDICAL IMAGING, P.C.	Supreme Court of the State of New Y	455,000	\$1,850,000	\$4	Prelim.	May 12, 2025

#	Defendant	Court	Class Size	Settlement Amount	Per Member	Phase	Settlement Date
32	CARDIOVASCULAR CONSULTANTS, LTD.	Superior Court of the State of Ariz	477,761	\$3,850,000	\$8	Prelim.	Feb 22, 2026
33	CORNERSTONE HEALTHCARE GROUP MANAGEMENT SERVI	United States District Court Wester	483,000	\$2,350,000	\$5	Prelim.	Apr 20, 2025
34	ELEKTA, INC., AND NORTHWESTERN MEMORIAL HEALT	United States District Court Northe	489,932	\$8,900,000	\$18	Final	Aug 21, 2024
35	GENERAL PHYSICIAN, P.C.	Supreme Court of the State of New Y	490,210	\$2,500,000	\$5	Prelim.	Dec 10, 2025

Source: Clareon Settlement Database. Data retrieved April 17, 2026. All figures as reported in court filings. — indicates data not publicly available.

# Statistical Analysis

## Summary Statistics

The following statistics are calculated from the 32 comparable cases reporting quantified settlement amounts.

Metric	Settlement Amount	Per-Member Recovery	Class Size
Minimum	\$552,500	\$2	148,515
25th Percentile	\$1,275,000	\$5	191,841
Median	\$2,375,000	\$7	284,326
Mean	\$2,648,672	\$10	298,505
75th Percentile	\$2,825,000	\$9	378,045
Maximum	\$10,000,000	\$42	490,210

## Analysis of Results

The 32 comparable settlements reflect a market for data breach class actions involving classes of approximately 148,515 to 490,210 individuals. The settlement amounts show meaningful variation, reflecting differences in the severity of the breach, the sensitivity of compromised data, the defendant's resources, the strength of plaintiffs' claims, and the stage of litigation at which settlement was reached.

The median settlement of **\$2,375,000** and mean settlement of **\$2,648,672** provide the primary measures of central tendency. The per-class-member recovery, which normalizes for class size differences, shows a median of **\$7** and a mean of **\$10** per affected individual.

Applying the median per-class-member recovery of **\$7** to the subject class size of **316,000** yields a market-indicated settlement value of approximately **\$2,212,000**. Applying the mean per-class-member recovery of **\$10** yields approximately **\$3,031,625**. These per-member projections provide an independent cross-check on the raw settlement-amount statistics.

Of the 35 total comparable cases, 5 utilized a claims-made settlement structure and 29 utilized a common settlement fund.

Of the 11 cases reporting claims data, response rates ranged from 0.37% to 5.53%, which is consistent with typical class action claims rates in data breach litigation.

# Valuation Conclusion

Based on the comparable settlement data analyzed in this report, the following conclusions are presented regarding the settlement market value of the subject data breach class action, **In re Anna Jaques Hospital Data Security Incident Litigation, No. 1:24-cv-10792**, involving approximately **316,000** affected class members.

## Market-Indicated Settlement Range

### SETTLEMENT VALUE RANGE

**\$1,275,000 – \$2,825,000**

Interquartile Range (25th – 75th Percentile)

**Median: \$2,375,000 | Mean: \$2,648,672**

Per-Member Range: \$2 – \$42 | Median: \$7 | Mean: \$10

The interquartile range of **\$1,275,000 to \$2,825,000** represents the core market range where the majority of comparable settlements cluster. This range excludes outliers at both extremes and reflects the most probable settlement range for a case with the subject characteristics.

The full range of comparables extends from **\$552,500 to \$10,000,000**, reflecting the breadth of outcomes driven by case-specific factors.

## Per-Member Projection

Applying the per-member statistics from the comparable set to the subject class size of **316,000** produces the following projections:

**Median projection:** \$7 per member × 316,000 = **\$2,212,000**

**Mean projection:** \$10 per member × 316,000 = **\$3,031,625**

## Adjustments and Considerations

The indicated value range should be adjusted based on the specific characteristics of the subject case, including but not limited to:

**Sensitivity of compromised data:** Cases involving Social Security numbers, financial account data, or medical records tend to settle at higher per-member values than cases involving less sensitive information.

**Defendant financial capacity:** The defendant's ability to fund a settlement is a practical constraint that can move values above or below the market range.

**Strength of liability theory:** Cases with clear evidence of negligence or regulatory non-compliance tend to command higher settlements.

**Litigation posture:** The stage of litigation, including the status of class certification and dispositive motions, affects bargaining dynamics.

**Regulatory environment:** Applicable state privacy statutes and regulatory actions may provide additional settlement leverage.

# Certification and Authentication

---

This Comparable Case Valuation Report (Report No. **CLR-2026-04-0917**) has been prepared using data from the Clareon settlement database. The comparable case data presented herein has been verified against publicly available court filings and settlement documents.

1. The data presented in this report is sourced from the Clareon settlement database and verified against court filings.
2. The comparable cases were selected using objective criteria as described in the Scope and Methodology section.
3. The statistical analysis and valuation conclusions are based solely on the comparable market data and established analytical methods.
4. This report is provided for informational and analytical purposes. It does not constitute legal advice or a guarantee of any particular settlement outcome.
5. This report was generated on April 17, 2026 and reflects data available as of that date.

---

## REPORT AUTHENTICATION

Report Number: **CLR-2026-04-0917**

Date of Report: **April 17, 2026**

Authentication Code: **A5169868222C4616**

Target Class Size: **316,000**

Comparable Cases Analyzed: **35**

Database Source: **Clareon Settlement Database**

*This report may be verified by contacting Clareon with the Report Number and Authentication Code shown above.*

**- End of Report -**